

**Instructions for using the attached Crosswalk Reference Document  
for Review and Submission of Local Mitigation Action Plans  
to the State Hazard Mitigation Officer and FEMA Regional Office**

Attached is a crosswalk reference document, which is based on the Final Draft Report ***State and Local Plan Interim Criteria Under the Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000***, published by FEMA HQ and dated July 11, 2002. This document was based on the *Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000* (P.L. 106-390), enacted October 30, 2000 to amend the *Stafford Act* and *44 CFR Parts 201 and 206 Interim Final Rule*, published February 26, 2002. This Crosswalk also incorporates Texas Planning Standards for Mitigation (*Checklist P*).

The purpose of the crosswalk is to provide a tool to assist local or multi-jurisdictional entities in the process of developing and reviewing Local or Multi-jurisdictional Mitigation Action Plans (MAP) in compliance with Federal requirements and State of Texas standards. Once the local review is complete, submit a paper copy of your MAP and your completed *FEMA-Texas Crosswalk* on a floppy disk to your Regional Liaison Officer (RLO). A suggestion: call your RLO and make an appointment to do the review in person. Your RLO will conduct an initial review using your completed *FEMA-Texas Crosswalk* and *Checklist P*, and will either send the *Crosswalk* back to you indicating where you need to make MAP revisions, as needed, or forward the MAP and Crosswalk to the DEM Mitigation Section in Austin. DEM in Austin will review the document using your completed *FEMA-Texas Crosswalk* and *Checklist P* (items P-29 to P-52). Detailed information and guidance concerning the evaluation of mitigation planning documents is available in Mitigation Job Aid #5 which is on the DEM website at:  
<http://www.txdps.state.tx.us/dem/documents.htm#mitigation>.

Tribes may submit hazard mitigation plans through the state or they can directly submit their plans to FEMA Region 6. This means they can write a Local or Multi-jurisdictional Plan as a sub-grantee or they may write a Standard or Enhanced State Plan as a Grantee. When tribes are considering how they want to develop and submit their plans, they need to consider how they want to apply for and receive Pre-Disaster Mitigation (PDM) Grant projects, Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HMGP) projects, or Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA) projects. Interested tribes can determine this by talking with the State Hazard Mitigation Officer or the FEMA Region VI Federal Insurance and Mitigation Administration (FIMA) Division. In any case, each tribe should review their own plans before submitting them to the state or FEMA Regional office.

Following are explanations of each column.

- Column 1 indicates on what page or pages in the *State and Local Plan Interim Criteria* document more detailed information can be found regarding the requirements. "Texas Standard" indicates there is a related state requirement from *Checklist P*.
- Column 2 references and directly quotes the *44 CFR Parts 201 and 206 Interim Final Rule*. It also identifies the number of the specific state criteria from *Checklist P* included in the requirement. NOTE: You must refer to *Checklist P* (items P-29 to P-52) for a detailed explanation of the numbered state standards.
- Column 3 is for the tribe and/or local jurisdiction to indicate the Section and the page number(s) in their plan where the requirement is addressed.
- Column 4 provides space for State/FEMA comments and for scoring of the plan. (NOTE: THIS COLUMN IS FOR STATE/FEMA USE ONLY)

**Local Mitigation Plan Review and Approval Status**

<b>Local Requirement</b>		
<b>Local Plan Submitted to the State by:</b> Judy Gardner <i>jgardner@gbra.org</i>	<b>Title:</b> <a href="#">Manager of Communications and Education</a>	<b>Date:</b> <a href="#">March 12, 2004/Resubmitted 7.7.04</a>

<b>State Requirements</b>		
<b>Regional Liaison Officer Reviewer</b>	<b>Region</b> _____	<b>Date:</b>
<b>Plans Unit Reviewer</b>	<b>Title:</b>	<b>Date:</b>
<b>Mitigation Section Reviewer</b>	<b>Title:</b>	<b>Date:</b>

<b>FEMA Requirement</b>		
<b>FEMA Reviewer:</b>	<b>Title:</b>	<b>Date:</b>
<b>Date Received in FEMA Region 6</b>		
<b>Plan Not Approved</b>		
<b>Plan Approved</b>		
<b>Date Approved</b>		

LOCAL HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN REVIEW FOR  
 FEMA REGION VI AND STATE OF TEXAS  
 FOR FEMA USE ONLY

<b>Point of Contact:</b> Judy Gardner	<b>Local Plan Reviewed by:</b>	
<b>Title:</b> Manager of Communications and Education	<b>Title:</b>	
<b>Agency:</b> Guadalupe-Blanco River Authority	<b>NFIP Status (Single Jurisdiction)</b>	
<b>Phone Number:</b> 830-379-5822	Participating <input type="checkbox"/>	Non-Participating <input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Multi-jurisdiction:</b> xxx <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO (If yes, list each jurisdiction below:)	<b>N/A*</b>	<b>NFIP Status (for mapped communities)</b>
[ATTACH PAGE (S) WITH ADDITIONAL JURISDICTIONS]		
<b>Local Plan POC:</b> Please complete the information requested on this profile form. The form will be submitted with your plan to the State. Using the attached crosswalk, compare your local plan content with the criteria outlined. Please note under the column heading "Location in the Plan" the page(s) where your plan addresses/meets the criteria. Thank you.		

• Not applicable for communities not mapped and/or who do not have an identified flood risk.			
Multi-jurisdiction: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO (If yes, list each jurisdiction below:)	N/A*	NFIP Status (for mapped communities)	
1. Kendall County	<input type="checkbox"/>	Participating <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Non-Participating <input type="checkbox"/>
2. City of Boerne	<input type="checkbox"/>	Participating <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Non-Participating <input type="checkbox"/>
3. Caldwell County	<input type="checkbox"/>	Participating <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Non-Participating <input type="checkbox"/>
4. City of Lockhart	<input type="checkbox"/>	Participating <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Non-Participating <input type="checkbox"/>
5. City of Luling	<input type="checkbox"/>	Participating <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Non-Participating <input type="checkbox"/>
6. City of Martindale	<input type="checkbox"/>	Participating <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Non-Participating <input type="checkbox"/>
7. DeWitt County	<input type="checkbox"/>	Participating <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Non-Participating <input type="checkbox"/>
8. City of Cuero	<input type="checkbox"/>	Participating <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Non-Participating <input type="checkbox"/>
9. City of Nordheim	<input type="checkbox"/>	Participating <input type="checkbox"/>	Non-Participating <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
10. City of Yoakum	<input type="checkbox"/>	Participating <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Non-Participating <input type="checkbox"/>
11. City of Yorktown	<input type="checkbox"/>	Participating <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Non-Participating <input type="checkbox"/>
12. Gonzales County	<input type="checkbox"/>	Participating <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Non-Participating <input type="checkbox"/>
13. City of Gonzales	<input type="checkbox"/>	Participating <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Non-Participating <input type="checkbox"/>
14. City of Nixon	<input type="checkbox"/>	Participating <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Non-Participating <input type="checkbox"/>
15. City of Waelder	<input type="checkbox"/>	Participating <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Non-Participating <input type="checkbox"/>
16. Calhoun County	<input type="checkbox"/>	Participating <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Non-Participating <input type="checkbox"/>
17. City of Point Comfort	<input type="checkbox"/>	Participating <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Non-Participating <input type="checkbox"/>
(1-30-04)			

LOCAL HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN REVIEW FOR  
 FEMA REGION VI AND STATE OF TEXAS  
 FOR FEMA USE ONLY

18. City of Port Lavaca	<input type="checkbox"/>	Participating xx <input type="checkbox"/>	Non-Participating <input type="checkbox"/>
19. City of Seadrift	<input type="checkbox"/>	Participating xx <input type="checkbox"/>	Non-Participating <input type="checkbox"/>
20. Refugio County	<input type="checkbox"/>	Participating xx <input type="checkbox"/>	Non-Participating <input type="checkbox"/>
21. City of Austwell	<input type="checkbox"/>	Participating xx <input type="checkbox"/>	Non-Participating <input type="checkbox"/>
22. City of Bayside	<input type="checkbox"/>	Participating xx <input type="checkbox"/>	Non-Participating <input type="checkbox"/>
23. Town of Refugio	<input type="checkbox"/>	Participating xx <input type="checkbox"/>	Non-Participating <input type="checkbox"/>
24. City of Woodsboro	<input type="checkbox"/>	Participating xx <input type="checkbox"/>	Non-Participating <input type="checkbox"/>
25. Victoria County	<input type="checkbox"/>	Participating xx <input type="checkbox"/>	Non-Participating <input type="checkbox"/>
26. City of Victoria	<input type="checkbox"/>	Participating xx <input type="checkbox"/>	Non-Participating <input type="checkbox"/>
27. Guadalupe Blanco River Authority	XX		

**LOCAL MITIGATION PLAN SUMMARY WORKSHEET**

The plan cannot be reviewed if the prerequisite is not met for a single jurisdictional plan, or prerequisites are not met for a multi-jurisdictional plan.

Criteria must receive a score of “**Satisfactory**” or “**Outstanding**” for the plan to receive FEMA approval. Reviewer’s comments must be provided for requirements receiving a “**Needs Improvement**” score.

**SCORING SYSTEM**

Please check one of the following for each requirement.

- U – Unsatisfactory:** The plan does not address the criteria.
- N – Needs Improvement:** The plan addresses the criteria, but needs significant improvement. Reviewer’s comments must be provided.
- S – Satisfactory:** The plan meets the minimum criteria. Reviewer’s comments are encouraged, but not required.
- O – Outstanding:** The plan exceeds the minimum criteria. Reviewer’s comments are encouraged, but not required.

**Prerequisite (s) (Check Applicable Box)**

Adoption by the Local Governing Body:  
 §201.6(c)(5) **OR**  
 Multi-jurisdictional Plan Adoption:  
 §201.6(c)(5)

**AND**

Multi-jurisdictional Participation: §201.6(a)(3)

NOT MET	MET
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

**Planning Process**

Documentation of the Planning Process:  
 §201.6(c)(1)

U	N	S	O
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

**Risk Assessment**

Identifying Hazards: §201.6(c)(2)(i)  
 Profiling Hazard Events: §201.6(c)(2)(i)  
 Assessing Vulnerability: Overview:  
 §201.6(c)(2)(ii)

U	N	S	O
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

**Risk Assessment (cont.)**

Assessing Vulnerability: Identifying Assets:  
 §201.6(c)(2)(ii)(A)  
 Assessing Vulnerability: Estimating Potential  
 Losses: §201.6(c)(2)(ii)(B)  
 Assessing Vulnerability: Analyzing  
 Development Trends: §201.6(c)(2)(ii)(C)  
 Multi-jurisdictional Risk Assessment:  
 §201.6(c)(2)(iii)

U	N	S	O
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

**Mitigation Strategy**

Local Hazard Mitigation Goals: §201.6(c)(3)(i)  
 Identification and Analysis of Mitigation  
 Measures: §201.6(c)(3)(ii)  
 Implementation of Mitigation Measures:  
 §201.6(c)(3)(iii)  
 Multi-jurisdictional Mitigation Strategy:  
 §201.6(c)(3)(iv)

U	N	S	O
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

**Plan Maintenance Procedures**

Monitoring, Evaluating, and Updating the  
 Plan: §201.6(c)(4)(i)  
 Implementation Through Existing Programs:  
 §201.6(c)(4)(ii)  
 Continued Public Involvement:  
 §201.6(c)(4)(iii)

U	N	S	O
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

**LOCAL MITIGATION PLAN APPROVAL STATUS**

PLAN NOT APPROVED

PLAN APPROVED

<b>PLAN REVIEW CRITERIA REFERENCE</b>  <b>(SECTION PAGE #)</b>	<b>REQUIREMENT AS TAKEN FROM THE INTERIM FINAL RULE PART 201</b>	<b>LOCATION IN THE PLAN (INDICATE SECTION AND PAGE #)</b>	<b>SCORE / STATE / FEMA REVIEWER COMMENTS</b> <u><b>SCORING SYSTEM</b></u> <b>MET/NOT MET</b> <b>U—UNSATISFACTORY      S—SATISFACTORY</b> <b>N—NEEDS IMPROVEMENT    O—OUTSTANDING</b> <b>FEMA/STATE USE ONLY</b>
<b>PREREQUISITE (S)</b>  <b>(3-1)</b>			<i>NOTE: The prerequisite, or prerequisites in the case of multi-jurisdictional plans, must be met before the plan can be approved.</i>
<b>Adoption by the Local Governing Body</b>  <b>(3-2)</b>          <b>Texas Standard</b>	<b>Requirement §201.6(c)(5):</b> [The local hazard mitigation plan shall include] documentation that the plan has been formally adopted by the governing body of the jurisdiction requesting approval of the plan (e.g., City Council, County Commissioner, Tribal Council)...  P-46		
<b>OR</b>			
<b>Multi-Jurisdictional Plan Adoption</b>  <b>(3-3)</b>          <b>Texas Standard</b>	<b>Requirement §201.6(c)(5):</b> For multi-jurisdictional plans, each jurisdiction requesting approval of the plan must document that it has been formally adopted.	Adoption by Governing Bodies and the GBRA Board is pending. It will be done as plan is finalized. Resolutions will appear in Appendix F.	

<b>PLAN REVIEW CRITERIA REFERENCE</b>  <b>(SECTION PAGE #)</b>	<b>REQUIREMENT AS TAKEN FROM THE INTERIM FINAL RULE PART 201</b>	<b>LOCATION IN THE PLAN</b>  <b>(INDICATE SECTION AND PAGE #)</b>	<b>SCORE / STATE / FEMA REVIEWER COMMENTS</b>  <u><b>SCORING SYSTEM</b></u> <b>U--UNSATISFACTORY      S--SATISFACTORY</b> <b>N--NEEDS IMPROVEMENT      O—OUTSTANDING</b> <b>FEMA/STATE USE ONLY</b>
<b>Multi-Jurisdictional Planning Participation</b>  <b>(3-4)</b>          <b>Texas Standard</b>	<b>Requirement §201.6(a)(3):</b>  Multi-jurisdictional plans (e.g., watershed plans) may be accepted, as appropriate, as long as each jurisdiction has participated in the process... Statewide plans will not be accepted as multi-jurisdictional plans.       P-30, P-46	Planning process is described in Section 1, pages 1-3 and 1-4 and Section 2, pages 2-1 through 2-7.	
<b>PLANNING PROCESS</b>  <b>(3-5)</b>			
<b>Documentation of the Planning Process</b>  <b>(3-6)</b>          <b>Texas Standards</b>	<b>Requirement §201.6(c)(1):</b>  [The plan must document] the planning process used to develop the plan, including how it was prepared, who was involved in the process, and how the public was involved.	Section 1 (pages 1-3 and 1-4) and Section 2 (pages 2-1 through 2-7) outline the planning process, including who was involved in the process and how the public was involved.	



<b>PLAN REVIEW CRITERIA REFERENCE  (SECTION PAGE #)</b>	<b>REQUIREMENT AS TAKEN FROM THE INTERIM FINAL RULE PART 201</b>	<b>LOCATION IN THE PLAN  (INDICATE SECTION AND PAGE #)</b>	<b>SCORE / STATE / FEMA REVIEWER COMMENTS  <u>SCORING SYSTEM</u>  U--UNSATISFACTORY      S--SATISFACTORY N--NEEDS IMPROVEMENT      O—OUTSTANDING  FEMA/STATE USE ONLY</b>
<p><b>Profiling Hazard Events</b></p> <p><b>(3-14)</b></p> <p><b>Texas Standard</b></p>	<p><b>Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(i):</b></p> <p>[The risk assessment shall include a] description of the...location and extent of all natural hazards that can affect the jurisdiction. The plan shall include information on previous occurrences of hazard events and on the probability of future hazard events.</p> <p>P-35</p>	<p>Location of hazards is addressed on the following pages: Hurricanes: 5-8 and 5-9; Floods: 6-28 through 6-31; Tornadoes, 10-5; Urban and wildland fires: 11-6; HazMat: 13-5 to 13-7; Fuel pipeline: 14-3; dam failure 15-4 to 15-9. The other hazards do not have geographic boundaries and thus their location is not addressed (urban fire, drought, winter storm, hailstorm, thunderstorm, terrorism). Previous hazard occurrences are addressed on these pp: Hurricane: 5-7 and 5-8 Flood: 6-13 Thunderstorms: 7-3 through 7-13 Drought: 8-4, 8-5 Hail: 9-3 through 9-9 Tornadoes: 10-3, 10-4 through 10-12 Fire: 11-5 Winter storm: 12-5, 12-6 Hazmat: 13-3 and 13-4 Pipelines: 14-4 through 14-12 Dam Failures: 15-3 Probability of future hazard occurrence is addressed on pp: Hurricane: 5-11 and 5-12 Flooding: 6-31 Thunderstorms: 7-15 Drought: 8-7 Hail: 9-11 Tornadoes: 10-14 Fire: 11-11 Winter storm: 12-7 Hazmat: 13-8 and 13-9 Pipelines: 14-13 and 14-14 Dam Failures: 15-1- and 15-11 Terrorism: 16-6 through 16-8</p>	

<b>PLAN REVIEW CRITERIA REFERENCE  (SECTION PAGE #)</b>	<b>REQUIREMENT AS TAKEN FROM THE INTERIM FINAL RULE PART 201</b>	<b>LOCATION IN THE PLAN  (INDICATE SECTION AND PAGE #)</b>	<b>SCORE / STATE / FEMA REVIEWER COMMENTS  <u>SCORING SYSTEM</u>  U--UNSATISFACTORY      S--SATISFACTORY N--NEEDS IMPROVEMENT      O—OUTSTANDING  FEMA/STATE USE ONLY</b>
<p><b>Assessing Vulnerability: Overview: Currently found under Identifying Assets section, p.3- 18—to be corrected in next version of the Plan Criteria)</b></p> <p><b>Texas Standards</b></p>	<p><b>Requirement 201.6(c)(2)(ii):</b>  [The risk assessment shall include a] description of the jurisdiction’s vulnerability to the hazards described in paragraph (c)(2)(i) of this section. This description shall include an overall summary of each hazard and its impact on the community.</p> <p>P-36.01 to .07</p>	<p>Sections 5 through 16 (each addressing specific hazards) include sub- sections on the overall impact of the hazard on the community, in terms of the people and property at risk, history of hazard events, and potential damages and losses. See pages 4-19 and 21-3 for a description of data limitations and future efforts at estimating for each hazard the type, the number of existing and future buildings, infrastructure and critical facilities within each hazard area.</p> <p>See pages 3-7 and 21-4 for how a summary of each jurisdiction’s vulnerability will be provided as part of the five-year update.</p>	





<p><b>Assessing Vulnerability:                  Analyzing Development Trends                  (3-24)</b></p> <p><b>Texas Standards</b></p>	<p><b>Requirement 201.6(c)(2)(ii)(C):</b>                  [The plan should describe vulnerability in terms of] providing a general description of land uses and development trends within the community so that mitigation options can be considered in future land use decisions.</p> <p>P-32</p>	<p>Development and population trends are addressed on pp. 3-6 through 3-11, 3-21, and 3-23. Land use is addressed on pp. 3-31 through 3-33. See pages 3-7, 21-3 and 21-4 for a discussion of how land uses and development trends will be re-examined as part of the plan update process. This will include the types of development occurring, location, expected intensity, and pace by land use for each jurisdiction.</p>	
--	---	---	--

<p><b>Multi-Jurisdictional Risk Assessment</b> <b>(3-26)</b></p> <p><b>Texas Standards</b></p>	<p><b>Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(iii):</b> For multi-jurisdictional plans, the risk assessment section must assess each jurisdiction's risks where they vary from the risks facing the entire planning area.</p> <p>P-36.01 to .07</p>	<p>Unique hazards that vary from the risks facing the entire planning area are addressed on pages 4-19 to 4-21. See pages 4-21 for a statement that if a jurisdiction is not listed in the table, they conducted a review of their risks in comparison to regional risks and concluded that they did not have any risks that varied from the region as a whole.</p>	
<p><b>MITIGATION STRATEGY</b> <b>(3-29)</b></p>			
<p><b>Local Hazard Mitigation Goals</b> <b>(3-30)</b></p> <p><b>Texas Standard</b></p>	<p><b>Requirement §201.6(c)(3)(i):</b> [The hazard mitigation strategy shall include: a] description of mitigation goals to reduce or avoid long-term vulnerabilities to the identified hazards.</p> <p>P-43</p>	<p>Mitigation goals are contained in section 17, pages 17-2 through 17-3.</p>	



<b>PLAN REVIEW CRITERIA REFERENCE</b>  <b>(SECTION PAGE #)</b>	<b>REQUIREMENT AS TAKEN FROM THE INTERIM FINAL RULE PART 201</b>	<b>LOCATION IN THE PLAN</b>  <b>(INDICATE SECTION AND PAGE #)</b>	<b>SCORE / STATE / FEMA REVIEWER COMMENTS</b>  <u><b>SCORING SYSTEM</b></u> <b>U--UNSATISFACTORY      S--SATISFACTORY</b> <b>N--NEEDS IMPROVEMENT      O—OUTSTANDING</b> <b>FEMA/STATE USE ONLY</b>
Texas Standard	P-44	it will be administered and implemented.  Benefits and costs of each action will be addressed in Sections 19 and 20. See pages 2-4 and 2-5 for a description of cost-benefit reviews performed as part of mitigation action development.	
<b>Multi-jurisdictional Mitigation Strategy</b>  <b>(3-40)</b>  Texas Standard	<b>Requirement §201.6(c)(3)(iv):</b>  For multi-jurisdictional plans, there must be identifiable action items specific to the jurisdiction requesting FEMA approval or credit of the plan.	Section 20 contains identifiable actions specific to each participating jurisdiction. GBRA mitigation actions are addressed in section 19, starting on page 19-3.	
<b>PLAN MAINTENANCE PROCEDURES</b>  <b>(3-43)</b>			
<b>Monitoring, Evaluating, and Updating the Plan</b>	<b>Requirement §201.6(c)(4)(i):</b>  [The plan maintenance process shall include a section describing the method and schedule of	Plan maintenance procedures are addressed in Section 21. It includes the method	

<b>PLAN REVIEW CRITERIA REFERENCE</b>  <b>(SECTION PAGE #)</b>	<b>REQUIREMENT AS TAKEN FROM THE INTERIM FINAL RULE PART 201</b>	<b>LOCATION IN THE PLAN</b>  <b>(INDICATE SECTION AND PAGE #)</b>	<b>SCORE / STATE / FEMA REVIEWER COMMENTS</b>  <u><b>SCORING SYSTEM</b></u> <b>U--UNSATISFACTORY      S--SATISFACTORY</b> <b>N--NEEDS IMPROVEMENT      O—OUTSTANDING</b> <b>FEMA/STATE USE ONLY</b>
<b>(3-44)</b>  <b>Texas Standards</b>	the] method and schedule of monitoring, evaluating, and updating the mitigation plan within a five-year cycle.  P-46, P-47	and schedule for monitoring, evaluating and updating the plan. The schedule is contained on page 21-3. The method for monitoring is addressed on pages 21-2 and 21-3.  Additional material on the plan update process is shown on pages 21-2 and 21-3 and 21-4.	
<b>Implementation Through Existing Programs</b>  <b>(3-48)</b>  <b>Texas Standard</b>	<b>Requirement §201.6(c)(4)(ii):</b> [The plan shall include a] process by which local governments incorporate the requirements of the mitigation plan into other planning mechanisms such as comprehensive or capital improvement plans, when appropriate...  P-45	Integration of the plan into other ongoing planning and development activities is addressed on page 21-1. See pages 21-1 and 21-2 for additional material on the process by which jurisdictions will integrate implementation of the mitigation plan into other planning mechanisms.	
<b>Continued Public Involvement</b>  <b>(3-50)</b>	<b>Requirement §201.6(c)(4)(iii):</b> [The plan maintenance process shall include a] discussion on how the community will continue public	Continued public involvement is addressed on page 21-5.	

<b>PLAN REVIEW CRITERIA REFERENCE</b>  <b>(SECTION PAGE #)</b>	<b>REQUIREMENT AS TAKEN FROM THE INTERIM FINAL RULE PART 201</b>	<b>LOCATION IN THE PLAN</b>  <b>(INDICATE SECTION AND PAGE #)</b>	<b>SCORE / STATE / FEMA REVIEWER COMMENTS</b>  <u><b>SCORING SYSTEM</b></u> <b>U--UNSATISFACTORY      S--SATISFACTORY</b> <b>N--NEEDS IMPROVEMENT      O—OUTSTANDING</b> <b>FEMA/STATE USE ONLY</b>
<b>Texas Standard</b>	participation in the plan maintenance process.  P-47		

<b>TEXAS STANDARDS THAT EXCEED 44 CFR SECTION 201 REQUIREMENTS</b>		<b>LOCATION IN THE PLAN</b> <b>(INDICATE SECTION AND PAGE NUMBER)</b>	<b>STATE REVIEWER COMMENTS</b>  <u><b>SCORING SYSTEM</b></u>  <b>U—UNSATISFACTORY; N--NEEDS IMPROVEMENT; S—SATISFACTORY; O—OUTSTANDING</b> <b>STATE USE ONLY</b>
<b>P-33</b>	Identify communities designated for special consideration because of minority or economically disadvantaged populations. Explain state and/or Federal designations for each community	<a href="#">See page 3-39.</a>	
<b>P-42.11</b>	Finding/results of Building Code Effectiveness Grading (BCEGS). Include date of report and score	<a href="#">See pages 18-24 to 18-26.</a>	

	received.		
<b>P-48</b>	Identify the mitigation action plan title, area covered, date adopted, and locations where current copies are available for review.	The title is on the cover. Formal adoption is pending. Locations at which copies are available for review are listed on pages 21-5 and 2-6.	

TEXAS STANDARDS THAT EXCEED 44 CFR SECTION 201 REQUIREMENTS		LOCATION IN THE PLAN (INDICATE SECTION AND PAGE NUMBER)	STATE REVIEWER COMMENTS  <u>SCORING SYSTEM</u>  U—UNSATISFACTORY; N--NEEDS IMPROVEMENT; S—SATISFACTORY; O—OUTSTANDING  STATE USE ONLY
<b>P-49</b>	Identify the impact of emergencies and disasters that occurred during the year. Include impact to floodplains, repetitive loss areas, and an assessment of effectiveness of previous and on-going mitigation measures	The assessment of previous and on-going mitigation measures is contained in Section 18. Previous hazard events (including those during the past year) and their impacts are address in Sections 5 through 16.	
<b>P-50</b>	Identify a prioritized list of proposed mitigation actions from the mitigation action plan and discuss implementation accomplishments and/or implementation problems and	All the collective basin-wide mitigation actions contained in Sections 19 appear in priority order. The jurisdiction's actions in Section 20 are prioritized as	

	recommended solutions.	well. We are unable to discuss implementation accomplishments or problems and recommended solutions at this time since the plan is just beginning to be implemented. Implementation issues are addressed in the discussion of each mitigation action in sections 19 and 20.	
--	------------------------	---	--

TEXAS STANDARDS THAT EXCEED 44 CFR SECTION 201 REQUIREMENTS		LOCATION IN THE PLAN (INDICATE SECTION AND PAGE NUMBER)	STATE REVIEWER COMMENTS  <u>SCORING SYSTEM</u>  U—UNSATISFACTORY; N--NEEDS IMPROVEMENT; S—SATISFACTORY; O—OUTSTANDING STATE USE ONLY
<b>P-51</b>	Identify and discuss any new mitigation measures to be added to the mitigation action plan	As noted on page 20-2, new mitigation measures will be identified incorporated into the plan as it undergoes revision.	
<b>P-52</b>	Identify name, phone, fax, email address of person(s) that conducted the review and the date prepared and submitted to DEM	Laurel Lacy, H2O Partners, Inc. 512-261-0705, <a href="mailto:Laurellacy@aol.com">Laurellacy@aol.com</a> , March 12, 2004/resubmitted 7.7.04	

NOTE: CRS Ratings addressed on page 6-36.